# WOOD STREET FOOTBRIDGE

Place No. 86

ADDRESS	Wood Stree	et		Last Update 2/09/2005		
	Lower Tem	plestowe				
DESCRIPTION	A single-span suspension footbridge over the Yarra River, located in Finns Reserve, at the end of the access road off Wood Street. The bridge is of steel construction with a steel-framed timber deck and latticed, steel angled towers supporting the wire rope cables. The bridge is a transitional structural type which combines both the use of diagonal cables and two main catenary cables. The timber decking to the bridge and the ramp at its southern end appear to be relatively recent. The avenue of poplars along the access road to the bridge has a degraded appearance due to missing and heavily pruned trees. At the Wood Street entrance to the reserve is a wrought iron gate with brick piers, which are presumably contemporary with the bridge. Nearby the gate are two conifers. The timber gatehouse described in the Doncaster and Templestowe Heritage Study (1991) has been demolished. It is comparable to footbridges across the Yarra River at Gipps Street, Collingwood; Walmer Street, Kew; Roseneath Street, Clifton Hill.					
	Condition	Good	Integrity	Minor Modifications		
	Threats					
	Threats Key elements Bridge Fence/gate					
	Tree(s)					
	Designer	Collier & Klaer				
HISTORY	The suspension bridge is believed to have been erected c.1955 by engineers Collier & Klaer for use by the Fathers of the Blessed Sacrament.(1) The Fathers occupied the seminary on the north bank of the Yarra, now used as Odyssey House Drug Rehabilitation Centre. It has also been suggested that the bridge was constructed for the Fathers c.1935 (2) although this seems unlikely as the seminary was not built until 1952. SOURCES (1) Allom Lovell & Associates, 'Banyule Heritage Places Study: Building Citations', pp.39-40. [2] Irvine Green, pers. comm.					
	Creation Date c.1955 Change Dates					
	Association	IS	Local Theme			
				S		
			6.02 - Churche			
STATEMENT OF	What is sig	nificant?	6.02 - Churche			
	What is sig The Wood Templestov	Street footbridge, constructed c		es		
STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE	The Wood Templestow How is it si The Wood	Street footbridge, constructed c we.	.1955 and the Poplar	es r Avenue, off Wood Street,		
	The Wood Templestow How is it si The Wood significance Why is it si The Wood	Street footbridge, constructed c we. ignificant? Street Suspension bridge and Pe e to Manningham City. ignificant?	1955 and the Poplar oplar Avenue are of historic significance f	es r Avenue, off Wood Street, local historic and aesthetic		
	The Wood Templestow How is it si The Wood significance Why is it si The Wood of the Bless The Wood intact and v	Street footbridge, constructed c we. ignificant? Street Suspension bridge and Pe e to Manningham City. ignificant? Street Suspension bridge is of h	.1955 and the Poplar oplar Avenue are of historic significance f H.1) ssociated elements ha	es r Avenue, off Wood Street, local historic and aesthetic for the associations with the father ave aesthetic significance as an		
	The Wood Templestow How is it si The Wood significance Why is it si The Wood of the Bless The Wood intact and v	Street footbridge, constructed c we. ignificant? Street Suspension bridge and Pe e to Manningham City. ignificant? Street Suspension bridge is of h sed sacrament. (RNE criterion H Street Suspension bridge and as well-executed example of Inter- by the remnant poplar avenue. (H	.1955 and the Poplar oplar Avenue are of historic significance f H.1) ssociated elements ha	es r Avenue, off Wood Street, local historic and aesthetic for the associations with the father ave aesthetic significance as an		

Heritage Register Listings					
Register	Reference	Zoning	Status		
Manningham Planning Scheme	НО		Recommended		

**Extent** To the extent of the footbridge, and the poplar avenue, and land surrounding both of these features to a minimum distance of 2m.

### Heritage Schedule

External Paint Controls:	No	On VHR:	No	VHR Ref No:
Internal Alteration Controls:	No	Prohibited Uses:	No	
Tree Controls:	Yes	Aboriginal Heritage Place:	No	
Outbuildings or Fences:	No	Incorporated Plan:	No	Incorporated Plan Details
Description:				-

#### **Conservation Management**

In order to conserve the heritage significance of this place, it is recommended that the following conservation objectives, as appropriate, be given priority in the future maintenance, development or management of the place:

1. Conserve the fabric of the building/s or other built elements, which is identified as contributing to the significance of the place. This includes the original fabric as well as fabric that may demonstrate important successive stages in the historic development of the place and/or provide evidence of changing architectural styles or techniques.

2. Discourage the demolition of significant or contributory buildings unless the demolition is only of part of the building and it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the responsible authority that, as appropriate:

- The fabric to be removed is not significant, or

- The fabric to be removed is not of primary significance and its removal will not adversely affect the significance of the place, or

- It will assist in the long term conservation of the place, or

- It will facilitate the historic use of the place and will not result in the loss of fabric considered to be primary significance.

Note: The poor condition or low integrity of a heritage place should not be used as justification for its demolition, particularly if it appears the condition of the heritage place has deliberately been allowed to deteriorate.

3. Where there is a complex of buildings and other elements the aim should be to conserve or reveal the historic visual relationship between the buildings and other elements in order to demonstrate the historical use and/or layout of the place.

4. Conserve significant plantings on the property, and maintain a visual relationship between the plantings and the significant buildings on the property.

5. Encourage the removal of non-significant or intrusive elements, particularly where this would assist in understanding or interpreting the significance of the place.

6. Ensure that the siting and design of new development does not overwhelm the historic setting of the building and the site as a whole by becoming a dominant element or by interfering with key views to and from the site.

7. Encourage any new development on the property to relate and be complementary in form, scale and materials to the significant buildings and other elements, but be clearly contemporary in design.

8. Retain views of significant building(s) and plantings from the street.

9. In the case of subdivision of the property, encourage the retention of the significant buildings, trees and related elements on one lot.

# NOTE:

While every effort has been made to ensure that the information contained in this citation is accurate, it is possible that more detailed investigation may reveal further information about the significance of the place. For example, in most cases an internal inspection was not made of buildings at the time of initial assessment. In the time since the place was first assessed it is also possible that the condition of buildings or trees may have changed.

The information contained in this citation should therefore be reviewed at the time that it is proposed to make changes to the property. This would likely require a more detailed assessment of any significant or contributory element that is affected by any proposed buildings or works. Once this more detailed assessment has been made, a review of the significance of the place should be carried out by Council's Heritage Adviser or an appropriately qualified professional.

## Extra Research

**BIBLIOGRAPHY** Context Pty Ltd, (1991), City of Doncaster & Templestowe Heritage Study, City of Doncaster & Templestowe, Doncaster

Context Pty Ltd, (2005), Manningham Heritage Study Review, Manningham City Council, Doncaster